Attachment 3 SR 19-285

# **APPENDIX B: FORMS**

.

.

• • •

To: Office of Planning and Research P.O. Box 3044, Room 113 Sacramento, CA 95812-3044	From: (Public Agency):				
County Clerk					
County of:	(Address)				
Project Title:					
Project Applicant:					
Project Location - Specific:					
Project Location - City:					
Description of Nature, Purpose and Benefici	aries of Project:				
Name of Public Agency Approving Project:					
	oject:				
Exempt Status: (check one):					
□ Ministerial (Sec. 21080(b)(1); 15268	3);				
Declared Emergency (Sec. 21080(b					
<ul> <li>Emergency Project (Sec. 21080(b))</li> <li>Categorical Exemption. State type a</li> </ul>					
	and section number: umber:				
Reasons why project is exempt:					
Lead Agency					
Contact Person:	Area Code/Telephone/Extension:				
If filed by applicant: 1. Attach certified document of exemption 2. Has a Notice of Exemption been filed	on finding. by the public agency approving the project? $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No				
Signature:	Date: Title:				
□ Signed by Lead Agency □ Sig	ned by Applicant				
Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21110, Public Res Reference: Sections 21108, 21152, and 21152.1, Pub					

## Environmental Checklist Form

NOTE: The following is a sample form and may be tailored to satisfy individual agencies' needs and project circumstances. It may be used to meet the requirements for an initial study when the criteria set forth in CEQA Guidelines have been met. Substantial evidence of potential impacts that are not listed on this form must also be considered. The sample questions in this form are intended to encourage thoughtful assessment of impacts, and do not necessarily represent thresholds of significance.

Project title:	
Lead agency name and address:	
Contact person and phone number:	
Project location:	
Project sponsor's name and address:	
General plan designation:	7. Zoning:
1 0	
	ection involved, including but not limited to la port, or off-site features necessary for its
Description of project: (Describe the whole a phases of the project, and any secondary, sup implementation. Attach additional sheets if n	action involved, including but not limited to la oport, or off-site features necessary for its ecessary.)
Description of project: (Describe the whole a phases of the project, and any secondary, sup implementation. Attach additional sheets if n	action involved, including but not limited to la oport, or off-site features necessary for its ecessary.)
Description of project: (Describe the whole a phases of the project, and any secondary, sup implementation. Attach additional sheets if n	exction involved, including but not limited to loport, or off-site features necessary for its necessary.)
Description of project: (Describe the whole a phases of the project, and any secondary, sup implementation. Attach additional sheets if n	exction involved, including but not limited to la opport, or off-site features necessary for its necessary.)

## ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

Aesthetics	Agriculture and Forestry Resources	Air Quality
Biological Resources	Cultural Resources	Geology /Soils
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	Hazards & Hazardous Materials	Hydrology / Water Quality
Land Use / Planning	Mineral Resources	Noise
Population / Housing	Public Services	Recreation
Transportation/Traffic	Utilities / Service Systems	Mandatory Findings of Significance

DETERMINATION: (To be completed by the Lead Agency)

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.

I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.

I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.

Signature

Date

Signature

### EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

- 1) A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 3) Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4) "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from "Earlier Analyses," as described in (5) below, may be cross-referenced).
- 5) Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
  - a) Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
  - b) Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
  - c) Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6) Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
- 7) Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8) This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.
- 9) The explanation of each issue should identify:
  - a) the significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
  - b) the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

## SAMPLE QUESTION Issues:

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
I. AESTHETICS Would the project:				
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?				
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?				
c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?				
d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?				
II. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES: In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment methodology provided in Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board Would the project:				
a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non- agricultural use?				
b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?				
c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section				

reze Res (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?					
d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?					
de) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?					
III. AIR QUALITY Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:					
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?					
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?					
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?					
d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?					
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?					
IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES Would the project:					
a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?					
b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service?					
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of					

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?		_		
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				
e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?				
f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?				
V. CULTURAL RESOURCES Would the project:				
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in § 15064.5?				
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5?				
c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?				
d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?				
VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS Would the project:				
a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:				
i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.				
ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?				
iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?				
iv) Landslides?				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?				
c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?				
d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?				
e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?				
VII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS Would the project:				
a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?				
b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?				
VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS - Would the project:				
a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?				
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?				
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?				
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?				
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
for people residing or working in the project area?				
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				
g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?				
h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?				
IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY Would the project:				
a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?				
b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre- existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?				
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?				
d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?				
e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?				
f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?				
g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other				

Boun flood hazard delineation map?

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?				
i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?				
j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?				
X. LAND USE AND PLANNING - Would the project:				
a) Physically divide an established community?				
b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?				
c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?				
XI. MINERAL RESOURCES Would the project:				
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?				
b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally- important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?				
XII. NOISE Would the project result in:				
a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?				
b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?				
c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				
d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				
XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING Would the project:				
a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?				
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				
XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES				
a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:				
Fire protection?				
Police protection?				
Schools?				
Parks?				
Other public facilities?				
XV. RECREATION				
a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				
XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC Would the project:				
a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?				
b) Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?				
c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?				
d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?				
e) Result in inadequate emergency access?				
f) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?				
XVII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS Would the project:				
a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?				
b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				
c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
could cause significant environmental effects?		-		
d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?				
e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?				
f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?				
g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?				
XVIII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE				
a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?				
b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?				
c) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on				

Note: Authority cited: Sections 21083, 21083.05, Public Resources Code. Reference: Section 65088.4, Gov. Code; Sections 21080, 21083.05, 21095, Pub. Resources Code; Eureka Citizens for Responsible Govt. v. City of Eureka (2007) 147 Cal.App.4th 357; Protect the Historic Amador Waterways v. Amador Water Agency (2004) 116 Cal.App.4th at 1109; San Franciscans Upholding the Downtown Plan v. City and County of San Francisco (2002) 102 Cal.App.4th 656.

Revised 2009

human beings, either directly or indirectly?

ч — п — п — п

### Nati . . ina ....

NO	tice of Determination	on	Appendix D
	Office of Planning and Resear U.S. Mail: P.O. Box 3044 Sacramento, CA 95812-3044 County Clerk County of: Address: BJECT: Filing of Notice of L	Street Address: 1400 Tenth St., Rm 113 Sacramento, CA 95814	From:         Public Agency:         Address:
Res	ources Code.		
	-		nghouse):
Proje	ect Title:		
Proje	ect Applicant:		
Proje	ect Location (include county)	:	
Proje	ect Description:		
This	is to advise that the(	Lead Agency or 🗌 Re	has approved the above esponsible Agency)
	cribed project on(date cribed project.	and has made th )	e following determinations regarding the above
2 3. Mi 4. A	A Negative Declaration was itigation measures [ were mitigation reporting or monit	Report was prepared for the sprepared for the sprepared for this project [] were not] made a contract or the spread of the second secon	t on the environment. his project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA. t pursuant to the provisions of CEQA. ndition of the approval of the project. as not] adopted for this project. was not] adopted for this project.

6. Findings [ were were not] made pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.

This is to certify that the final EIR with comments and responses and record of project approval, or the negative Declaration, is available to the General Public at:

Signature (Public Agency):	Title:
Date:	Date Received for filing at OPR:

Authority cited: Sections 21083, Public Resources Code. Reference Section 21000-21174, Public Resources Code. 

## FORM 4

·

r

· · ·

## Notice of Preparation

То:		From:	
(Add	ress)		(Address)

## Subject: Notice of Preparation of a Draft Environmental Impact Report

will be the Lead Agency and will prepare an environmental impact report for the project identified below. We need to know the views of your agency as to the scope and content of the environmental information which is germane to your agency's statutory responsibilities in connection with the proposed project. Your agency will need to use the EIR prepared by our agency when considering your permit or other approval for the project.

The project description, location, and the potential environmental effects are contained in the attached materials. A copy of the Initial Study ( $\Box$  is  $\Box$  is not) attached.

Due to the time limits mandated by State law, your response must be sent at the earliest possible date but not later than 30 days after receipt of this notice.

Please send your response to	at the address
shown above. We will need the name for a contact person in your agency.	

Project Title:		
-		

Project Applicant, if any:

Date	Signature
	Title

Telephone

Reference: California Code of Regulations, Title 14, (CEQA Guidelines) Sections 15082(a), 15103, 15375.

.

.

Appendix C

## **Notice of Completion & Environmental Document Transmittal**

*Mail to:* State Clearinghouse, P.O. Box 3044, Sacramento, CA 95812-3044 (916) 445-0613 *For Hand Delivery/Street Address:* 1400 Tenth Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

SCH #

Project Title:				
Lead Agency:		Contact Pe	rson:	
Mailing Address:		Phone:		
City:	Zip:			
Project Location: County:	City/Nearest C			
Cross Streets:			Z	ip Code:
Longitude/Latitude (degrees, minutes and seconds):o	<u> </u>	′	"W Total Acres:	
Assessor's Parcel No.:				Base:
Within 2 Miles: State Hwy #:				
Airports:	Railways:		Schools:	
Document Type:				
CEQA: NOP Draft EIR Early Cons Supplement/Subsequent EI Neg Dec (Prior SCH No.) Mit Neg Dec Other:		<ul> <li>NOI</li> <li>EA</li> <li>Draft EIS</li> <li>FONSI</li> </ul>	🗌 Fina	t Document l Document er:
General Plan Update       Specific Plan         General Plan Amendment       Master Plan         General Plan Element       Planned Unit Developme         Community Plan       Site Plan		e	□ R □ C	nnexation edevelopment oastal Permit ther:
Residential: Units       Acres         Office:       Sq.ft.         Acres       Employees_         Commercial:Sq.ft.       Acres         Industrial:       Sq.ft.         Acres       Employees_         Educational:       Employees_         Water Facilities:Type       MGD	Mini Powe Wast	er: Tyj e Treatment:Tyj rdous Waste:Ty	neral pe pe	MW MGD
Project Issues Discussed in Document:				
<ul> <li>Aesthetic/Visual</li> <li>Agricultural Land</li> <li>Flood Plain/Flooding</li> <li>Air Quality</li> <li>Forest Land/Fire Hazard</li> <li>Archeological/Historical</li> <li>Biological Resources</li> <li>Minerals</li> <li>Coastal Zone</li> <li>Drainage/Absorption</li> <li>Population/Housing Balar</li> <li>Economic/Jobs</li> <li>Fiscal</li> <li>Fiscal</li> <li>Fiscal</li> <li>Fiscal</li> <li>Fiscal</li> <li>Food Plain/Flooding</li> <li>Geologic/Seismic</li> <li>Minerals</li> <li>Double Services/Facilities</li> </ul>	Septic Sys Sewer Ca Soil Erosi Solid Was nce Toxic/Haz	Jniversities stems pacity on/Compaction, ste zardous	Grading Land	ulative Effects

**Project Description:** (please use a separate page if necessary)

## **Reviewing Agencies Checklist**

Lead Agencies may recommend State Clearinghouse distribut If you have already sent your document to the agency please	
Air Resources Board	Office of Historic Preservation
Boating & Waterways, Department of	Office of Public School Construction
California Emergency Management Agency	Parks & Recreation, Department of
California Highway Patrol	Pesticide Regulation, Department of
Caltrans District #	Public Utilities Commission
Caltrans Division of Aeronautics	Regional WQCB #
Caltrans Planning	Resources Agency
Central Valley Flood Protection Board	Resources Recycling and Recovery, Department of
Coachella Valley Mtns. Conservancy	S.F. Bay Conservation & Development Comm.
Coastal Commission	San Gabriel & Lower L.A. Rivers & Mtns. Conservancy
Colorado River Board	San Joaquin River Conservancy
Conservation, Department of	Santa Monica Mtns. Conservancy
Corrections, Department of	State Lands Commission
Delta Protection Commission	SWRCB: Clean Water Grants
Education, Department of	SWRCB: Water Quality
Energy Commission	SWRCB: Water Rights
Fish & Game Region #	Tahoe Regional Planning Agency
Food & Agriculture, Department of	Toxic Substances Control, Department of
Forestry and Fire Protection, Department of	Water Resources, Department of
General Services, Department of	
Health Services, Department of	Other:
Housing & Community Development	Other:
Native American Heritage Commission	
Local Public Review Period (to be filled in by lead agency	
Starting Date	Ending Date
Lead Agency (Complete if applicable):	
Consulting Firm:	Applicant:
Address:	Address:
City/State/Zip:	City/State/Zip:
Contact:	Phone:
Phone:	
Signature of Lead Agency Representative:	Date:

Authority cited: Section 21083, Public Resources Code. Reference: Section 21161, Public Resources Code.

·

# FORM 6

÷ .

.



## State of California - Department of Fish and Wildlife **NO EFFECT DETERMINATION REQUEST** DFW 866 (Rev 01/13)

Lead agencies or project applicants that anticipate their project having no effect on fish and wildlife may use this form to request a "No Effect" Determination (NED) from the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (Department). This form prompts submittal of required information specified in the California Code of Regulations (Title 14 Section 753.5(c)(1)(A)). The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) document that was prepared for the project or a link to the webpage where the CEQA document has been published must also be provided with the written request.

Requests should be submitted when the CEQA document is released for public review, or as early as possible in the public comment period. Requests should include sufficient documentation to support a no effect determination, and must be submitted to the appropriate <u>Regional Office</u>. Requests for projects with multi-region or statewide impacts should be submitted to the <u>Habitat</u> <u>Conservation Planning Branch</u>.

If insufficient documentation is submitted, or if the project will cause a physical disturbance to habitat regardless of the magnitude of effect or size of a project a NED will not be issued. Please refer to Title 14 California Code of Regulations 753.5(d) for determination criteria.

			Date Submitted:	
Applicant Name:			Phone Number:	
Address:			Fax Number:	
City:	State:	Zip:	Email:	
Contact Person:			Phone Number:	
Address:			Fax Number:	
City:	State:	Zip:	Email:	
CEQA Lead Agency:				
Project Name:				
SCH Number and/or Local Agenc	y ID number:		CEQA Document Type:	
<b>Project Location:</b> (Include street address, city, county, lat/long, township/range/section, or other description that clearly indicates the location of the project site. Submit an aerial photograph and/or topographic map showing the project location if otherwise not included with the CEQA document)				
Use "Comment" section on next page if more room is needed. Brief Project Description: (Include details on the type of project; e.g. new construction [with square footage], demolition of existing buildings,				
adaptive reuse of existing buildings, zoning amendments, general plan amendments, conditional use for sale of alcoholic beverages, etc.)				
Use "Comment" section on next page if more	e room is needed.			
Justification of No Effect Determi 753.5(d)]:	<b>nation</b> [Explain	how the proposed proje	ect has no effect on fish and wildlife consistent with 14 CCR $\$	



### COMMENTS (Continued from previous page)

**Project Location:** (Include street address, city, county, lat/long, township/range/section, or other description that clearly indicates the location of the project site. Submit an aerial photograph and/or topographic map showing the project location if otherwise not included with the CEQA document)

COMMENTS (Continued from previous page)

Brief Project Description: (Include details on the type of project; e.g. new construction [with square footage], demolition of existing buildings, adaptive reuse of existing buildings, zoning amendments, general plan amendments, conditional use for sale of alcoholic beverages, etc.)

COMMENTS (Continued from previous page)

**Justification of No Effect Determination** [Explain how the proposed project has no effect on fish and wildlife consistent with 14 CCR § 753.5(d)]:



No Effect Determination Request Form Instructions			
Applicant Name and Address	Full name and address of the CEQA project applicant		
Date Submitted Date of No Effect Determination Request Form submission			
Phone Number	CEQA project applicant's phone number		
Email	CEQA project applicant's email address		
Fax Number	Primary fax line for the CEQA project applicant		
Contact Person and Address	Full name and address of the person that should be contacted should additional information be needed to issue an NED		
Phone Number	Contact person's direct phone or cell number		
Email	Contact person's email address		
Fax Number	Contact person's direct fax number (if available)		
CEQA Lead Agency	The agency responsible for primary approval of the project, and for filing the Notice of Determination, or Decision, and any applicable findings		
SCH Number and/or Local Agency ID Number	State Clearinghouse Number – "SCH" – tracking number generated by Office of Planning and Research (OPR) when a project's CEQA documents are filed/circulated with/through OPR's State Clearinghouse		
	Local Agency ID Number – tracking/file number generated by the local agency (e.g. city or county) when a local agency is CEQA lead AND the project's CEQA documents will not be filed/circulated with/through OPR's State Clearinghouse		
CEQA Document Type Options include:			
	Negative Declaration,		
	Mitigated Negative Declaration,		
	Environmental Impact Report, or		
	Document for Certified Regulatory Program		
Project Location May be the project's street address including city and co geographic coordinates (latitude/longitude, UTM), public system coordinates (township/range/section), or other de that clearly indicates the location of the project site			
	Submit an aerial photograph and/or topographic map showing the project location if otherwise not included with the CEQA document		
Brief Project Description	Cription Please include details on the type of project; e.g. new construction (with square footage), demolition of existing buildings, adaptive reuse of existing buildings, zoning amendments, general plan amendments, conditional use for sale of alcoholic beverages, etc.		
Justification of No Effect Determination	Explain how the proposed project has no effect on fish and wildlife consistent with CCR Title 14 § 753.5(d)		