



# ALAMEDA-CONTRA COSTA TRANSIT DISTRICT

## Master Minute Order

File Number: 16-106c

Report ID: 16-106c

Type: Regular - Operations

Status: Approved

Agenda  
Section:

Meeting Body: Board of Directors -  
Regular Meeting

Report Created: 09/03/2019

Final Action: 09/25/2019

**Recommended Action:** Consider authorizing the General Manager to execute a contract renewal with the City of Oakland (City) for operation of the Broadway Shuttle.

Meeting Date: 09/25/2019

Agenda Number: 6.K.

Sponsors:

Enactment Date:

Attachments: STAFF REPORT

Enactment Number:

Hearing Date:

Effective Date:

### History of Legislative File

Acting Body:	Date:	Action:	Sent To:	Due Date:	Return Date:	Result:
Board of Directors - Regular Meeting	09/25/2019	Approved				Pass
<b>Action Text:</b> MOTION: WALLACE/ORTIZ to authorize the General Manager to execute a contract renewal with the City of Oakland (City) for operation of the Broadway Shuttle. The motion carried by the following vote:						
<b>Notes:</b> <i>[A letter received from the Jack London Improvement District was provided to the Board for consideration.]</i>						

Senior Transportation Planner John Urgo presented the staff report.

#### Public Comment:

**Bruce Williams**, City of Oakland, commented in support of extending the Broadway Shuttle contract, advising that it would allow staff additional time to develop a long-term vision for the shuttle and bolster funding for the service from the Alameda County Transportation Commission (ACTC), private funding partners and developers who were required to provide shuttle service on their own. He added that the City of Oakland was considering transit only lanes on Broadway and would request that the Alameda County Transportation Commission (ACTC) prioritize funding for that purpose as well as the Broadway Shuttle.

**Joe Dudley** commented in support of extending the Broadway Shuttle contract to support growth and development from downtown Oakland to Jack London Square.

Concerns were raised about the age of the existing shuttle fleet and the cost to replace it, future funding for the service, and how the District would respond to requests from other cities that wanted a similar "free" shuttle service.

With regard to the fleet, Director of Service Development and Planning Robert del Rosario advised that the existing buses were eligible for retirement in 2020, and while efforts to obtain funding from the air district for zero emission buses had not gained any momentum, the prospect of deploying this type of bus on the route made sense. With regard to the timeline to organize additional funding for the service, Mr. Williams responded that the City of Oakland had sought to increase private contributions for the service, in part, to help defray the increase in the cost of the contract. He added that staff was not presently seeking additional monies to cover the District's portion. With regard to possible requests by other cities, General Manager Michael Hursh advised that staff would bring requests to the Board for direction.

Director Shaw expressed general support for public/private partnerships to provide free shuttles, but felt the City of Oakland had done little to secure additional monies to help pay for the service. Additional concern was raised about the capital cost to replace the buses in 2020. Vice President Ortiz suggested that the \$10 million in Measure BB money originally allocated for Oakland's Streetcar Project be used to fund future vehicle replacement. Director Harper suggested that the City of Oakland form a public benefit improvement district for the purpose of funding the shuttle. Mr. Williams advised that the City was considering this option and would continue to work closely with AC Transit and the other partners.

Vice President Ortiz also questioned the need for a Title VI analysis and was advised by General Counsel Denise Standridge that an analysis would be required if there were a major change to the service because the District is contributing resources to the service.

Ayes: 5 President Wallace, Vice President Ortiz, Director Harper, Director Williams, Director Peeples  
Nays: 1 Director Shaw  
Absent: 1 Director Young

---